

Goodwin's earlier work on cycle-trend
(1953 The problem of \bar{r} & cycle)
1955 A model of cycle growth
1950 Non-linear theory of the cycle

are much more useful than
his formerly most accomplished
journal paper of 1967 (A growth cycle).

What I suspect is outright error:

Hicks's "random shocks

will work both with & against

the cycle"

(Goodwin p. 73 (74)
(" also p. 81)

is quoted with affirmative praise.

But how can this square with the
simulations of Maurice Kendall of 1939
of this ²Yule process?

Goodwin is totally concentrated on
~~deterministic~~ the physical problem
which concern really regular cycles (oscillations).